



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/887,194 06/22/2001 Kimberly F. Glassman **BB1449 US NA** 9205 **EXAMINER** 23906 7590 06/09/2004 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LACOURCIERE, KAREN A LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1635 WILMINGTON, DE 19805

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/887,194	GLASSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karen A. Lacourciere	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this a : (1) a timely filed amendment peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	pplication. A proper rep which places the applic	oly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)	]	, -
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date so bire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS The date on which the petition under iod of extension and the corresponding	mailing date of the final reject OF THE FINAL REJECTION 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app ng amount of the fee. The app	ction.  I. See MPEP  propriate extension propriate extension
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See <ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella</li> </ol>	37 CFR 1.704(b).		ection, even if
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	ssal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	irther consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);		
<ul><li>(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number	er of finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s): <u>See Continuation S</u>	heet.	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	ould be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	` , ,	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6-12,16-19 and 45</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-5,13-	<u>15 and 20-44</u> .		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		d by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	

Karen A. Lacourciere

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the proposed amendments filed 05-27-2004, Applicant has cancelled all pending claims and submitted all new claims, which would require further search and new considerations. For example, Applicant has changed the scope of the claims to be directed to soybeans, which requires a new search, and adds specific portions of SEQ ID NO:13, which require a new search. The regions of SEQ ID NO:13 newly recited are listed in alternative language, using and and or, and this alternative language is confusing, as it is not possible to determine what the alternative are, and therefore the proposed amendments bring up issues under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicant has not pointed to support for the newly added limitations of particular regions of SEQ ID NO:13 and no support for such could be found in the originally filed specification or claims and, therefore, the proposed claim amendments bring up the issue of new matter.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If entered, all rejections of record would be overcome by these amendments, however, they would be subject to a new search and would have new rejections raised as discussed above.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the propose amended claims, which have not been entered.

KAREN A. LACOURCIERE, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER